The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION

NAHANT



OFFICIAL EARLY / ABSENTEE BALLOT Tuesday, November 5, 2024

226

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval

to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT Vote for one	
AYYADURAI and ELLIS ++++++++ Independent	\bigcirc
DE LA CRUZ and GARCIA + Socialism and Liberation	\bigcirc
HARRIS and WALZ ++++++++++++ Democratic	\bigcirc
OLIVER and TER MAAT ++++++++Libertarian	\bigcirc
STEIN and CABALLERO-ROCA + Green-Rainbow Party	\bigcirc
TRUMP and VANCE ++++++++++ Republican	\bigcirc
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	\bigcirc
WHITE-IN STACE UNLI	
SENATOR IN CONGRESS	
Vote for ONE ELIZABETH ANN WARREN +++++++++Democratic	\bigcirc
24 Linnaean St., Cambridge Candidate for Re-election JOHN DEATON ++++++++++++++++Republican	
8 Mohill Ave., Swansea	\bigcirc
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	\bigcirc
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS SIXTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE	
SETH MOULTON ++++++++++++++++ Democratic 27 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election	\bigcirc
SIXTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE SETH MOULTON ++++++++++++++++Democratic	\bigcirc
SETH MOULTON	\circ
SEXTH DISTRICT SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	\circ
SEXTH DISTRICT SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
SEXTH MOULTON SETH MOULTON TO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR	
SEXTH MOULTON SETH MOULTON THE PROPERTY OF THE	
SEXTH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Candidate for Re-election Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
SEXTH DISTRICT SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY 3 Stafford Rd., Lynnfield Vote for OL Candidate for Re-election Candidate for Re-election Candidate for Re-election	
SEXTH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Candidate for Re-election Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
SEXTH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
SEXTH MOULTON TO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY Vote for OL TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
SEXTH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON ++++++++ Democratic	
SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT WOTE for ONE BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON 11 Greenleaf Cir., Lynn DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY 10 NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT Wote for ONE BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON 11 Greenlead Cir., Lynn **Councidate for Re-election** Candidate for Re-election** WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY **Councidate for Re-election** WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY **Councidate for Re-election** WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY **Councidate for Re-election** **	
SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT WOTE for ONE BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON 11 Greenleaf Cir., Lynn DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
SETH MOULTON SETH MOULTON TO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY ON ON OT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT Wote for ONE BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON 11 Greenleaf Cir., Lynn DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
SETH MOULTON SETH MOULTON TO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY ON ON OT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT Wote for ONE BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON 11 Greenleaf Cir., Lynn DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
SETH MOULTON SETH MOULTON TO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY 3 Stafford Rd., Lynnfield DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON 11 Greenleaf Cir., Lynn DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY 3 Stafford Rd., Lynnfield DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY **HOTHER CRIGHTON** *	
SETH MOULTON 37 Chestnut St., Salem Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SIXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY 3 Stafford Rd., Lynnfield Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT THIRD ESSEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE BRENDAN PETER CRIGHTON 11 Greenleaf Cir., Lynn Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT VOTE FOR WRITE-IN.	
SETH MOULTON SETH MOULTON TO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY COUNCILLOR SEXTH DISTRICT TERRENCE W. KENNEDY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	

CLERK OF COURTS		
ESSEX COUNTY	Vote for ONE	
THOMAS H. DRISCOLL, JR.		
28 Crosman Ave., Swampscott	Candidate for Re-election	
TODD R. ANGILLY +++++++ 32 Alexandra Rd., Lynnfield	++++++ Unenrolled	
DORIS V. RODRIGUEZ +++++ 121 Stearns Ave., Lawrence	+ + + + + + + Independent	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
DECIOTED OF DEEDO		
REGISTER OF DEEDS ESSEX SOUTHERN DISTRICT	Vote for ONE	
EILEEN R. DUFF ++++++++ 8 Barberry Heights Rd., Gloucester	++++++ Democratic	

NATHAN EDWARD RING ++++++++ Republican DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized blow, on ich no vote was taken by the Senate or presentatives before May 1, 20242

SUMMAR

ify that the Sta This proposed law would ditor has the authority

YES VOTE would spec at the Su the authority to audit the Le ture.

make no mange in the law ative to the State Au authority.

YES \bigcirc

 $NO \bigcirc$

QUESTION 2 AW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on ich no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of presentatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate the requirement a student pass the Massachusetts Comprehensive sessment System (MCAS) tests (or other statewide district-wide assessments) in mathematics, science d technology, and English in order to receive a high nool diploma. Instead, in order for a student to ceive a high school diploma, the proposed law would uire the student to complete coursework certified by student's district as demonstrating mastery of the npetencies contained in the state academic standards mathematics, science and technology, and English, as II as any additional areas determined by the Board of mentary and Secondary Education

YES VOTE would eliminate the requirement that idents pass the Massachusetts Comprehensive sessment System (MCAS) in order to graduate gh school but still require students to complete ursework that meets state standards.

NO VOTE would make no change in the law relative to the requirement that a student pass the MCAS in order to graduate high school.

YES \subset

 $NO \bigcirc$

QUESTION 3 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

The proposed law would provide Transportation Network Drivers ("Drivers") with the right to form unions ("Driver Organizations") to collectively bargain with Transportation Network Companies ("Companies")-which are companies that use a digital network to connect riders to drivers for pre-arranged transportation-to create negotiated recommendations concerning wages, benefits and terms and conditions of work. Drivers would not be required to engage in any union activities. Companies would be allowed to form multi-Company associations to represent them when negotiating with Driver Organizations. The state would supervise the labor activities permitted by the proposed law and would have responsibility for approving or disapproving the negotiated recommendations.

The proposed law would define certain activities by a Company or a Driver Organization to be unfair work practices. The proposed law would establish a hearing process for the state Employment Relations Board ("Board") to follow when a Company or Driver anization is charged with an unfair work practice. The proposed law would permit the Board to take n, including awarding compensation to adversely rected Dimers, if it found that an unfair work practice been committed. The proposed law would provide appeal of a Board decision to the state Appeals

This proposed law also would establish a procedure for determining which Drivers are Active Drivers, meaning that they completed more than the median number of rides in the previous six months. The proposed law would establish procedures for the Board to determine that a Driver Organization has signed authorizations from at least five percent of Active Drivers, entitling the Driver Organization to a list of Active Drivers; to designate a Driver Organization as the exclusive bargaining representative for all Drivers based on signed authorizations from at least twentyfive percent of Active Drivers; to resolve disputes over exclusive bargaining status, including through elections; and to decertify a Driver Organization from exclusive bargaining status. A Driver Organization that has been designated the exclusive bargaining representative would have the exclusive right to represent the Drivers and to receive voluntary membership dues deductions

Once the Board determined that a Driver Organization was the exclusive bargaining representative for all Drivers, the Companies would be required to bargain with that Driver Organization concerning wages, benefits and terms and conditions of work. Once the Driver Organization and Companies reached agreement on wages, benefits, and the terms and conditions of work, that agreement would be voted upon by all Drivers who has completed at least 100 trips the previous quarter. If approved by a majority of votes cast, the recommendations would be submitted to the state Secretary of Labor for approval and if approved, would be effective for three years. The proposed law would establish procedures for the nediation and arbitration if the Driver Organization and Companies failed to reach agreement within a certain period of time. An arbitrator would consider factors set . forth in the proposed law, including whether the wages of Drivers would be enough so that Drivers would not need to rely upon any public benefits. The proposed law also sets out procedures for the Secretary of Labor's review and approval of recommendations negotiated by a Driver Organization and the Companies and for judicial review of the Secretary's decision.

The proposed law states that neither its provisions, an agreement nor a determination by the Secretary would be able to lessen labor standards established by other laws. If there were any conflict between the proposed law and existing Massachusetts labor relations law, the proposed law would prevail.

The Board would make rules and regulations as appropriate to effectuate the proposed law.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in

A YES VOTE would provide transportation network drivers the option to form unions to collectively bargain with transportation network companies regarding wages, benefits, and terms and conditions of work.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law relative to the ability of transportation network drivers YES \bigcirc

 $NO \bigcirc$

CONTINUE ON BACK **VOTE BOTH SIDES**

QUESTION 4 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

.

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow persons aged 21 and older to grow, possess, and use certain natural psychedelic substances in certain circumstances. The psychedelic substances allowed would be two substances found in mushrooms (psilocybin and psilocyn) and three substances found in plants (dimethyltryptamine, mescaline, and ibogaine). These substances could be purchased at an approved location for use under the supervision of a licensed facilitator. This proposed law would otherwise prohibit any retail sale of natural psychedelic substances. This proposed law would also provide for the regulation and taxation of these psychedelic substances.

This proposed law would license and regulate facilities offering supervised use of these psychedelic substances and provide for the taxation of proceeds from those facilities' sales of psychedelic substances. It would also allow persons aged 21 and older to grow these psychedelic substances in a 12-foot by 12-foot area at their home and use these psychedelic substances at their home. This proposed law would authorize persons aged 21 or older to possess up to one gram of psilocybin, one gram of psilocyn, one gram of dimethyltryptamine, 18 grams of mescaline, and 30 grams of ibogaine ("personal use amount"), in addition to whatever they might grow at their home, and to give away up to the personal use amount to a person aged 21 or over.

This proposed law would create a Natural Psychedelic Substances Commission of five members appointed by the Governor, Attorney General, and Treasurer which would administer the law governing the use and distribution of these psychedelic substances. The Commission would adopt regulations governing licensing qualifications, security, recordkeeping, education and training, health and safety requirements, testing, and age verification. This proposed law would also create a Natural Psychedelic Substances Advisory Board of 20 members appointed by the Governor, Attorney General, and Treasurer which would study and make recommendations to the Commission on the regulation and taxation of these psychedelic substances.

This proposed law would allow cities and towns to reasonably restrict the time, place, and manner of the operation of licensed facilities offering psychedelic substances, but cities and towns could not ban those facilities or their provision of these substances.

The proceeds of sales of psychedelic substances at licensed facilities would be subject to the state sales tax and an additional excise tax of 15 percent. In addition, a city or town could impose a separate tax of up to two percent. Revenue received from the additional state excise tax, license application fees, and civil penalties for violations of this proposed law would be deposited in a Natural Psychedelic Substances Regulation Fund and would be used, subject to appropriation, for administration of this proposed law.

Using the psychedelic substances as permitted by this proposed law could not be a basis to deny a person medical care or public assistance, impose discipline by a professional licensing board, or enter adverse orders in child custody cases absent clear and convincing evidence that the activities created an unreasonable danger to the safety of a minor child

This proposed law would not affect existing laws regarding the operation of motor vehicles while under the influence, or the ability of employers to enforce workplace policies restricting the consumption of these psychedelic substances by employees. This proposed law would allow property owners to prohibit the use, display, growing, processing, or sale of these psychedelic substances on their premises. State and local governments could continue to restrict the possession and use of these psychedelic substances in public buildings or at schools.

This proposed law would take effect on December 15, 2024.

A YES VOTE would allow persons over age 21 to use certain natural psychedelic substances under licensed supervision and to grow and possess limited quantities of those substances in their home, and would create a commission to regulate those substances.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law regarding natural psychedelic substances

YES \bigcirc

 $NO \bigcirc$

QUESTION 5 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would gradually increase the minimum hourly wage an employer must pay a tipped worker, over the course of five years, on the following schedule:

- To 64% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2025:
- To 73% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2026;
- To 82% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2027;
- To 91% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2028; and
- To 100% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2029.

The proposed law would require employers to continue to pay tipped workers the difference between the state minimum, and and the total amount a tipped worker receives in hourly wages plus tips through the end of 2028. The proposed law would also permit employers to calculate this difference of the entire weekly or bi-weekly payroll period. The requirement to pay this difference would cease when the required hourly wage for tipped workers would become 100% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2029.

Under the proposed law, if an employer pays its workers an hourly wage that is at least the state primition one. The employer would be permitted to administer a "tip pool" that combines all the tips given by customers to tipped workers and distributes them among a workers, including non-tipped workers.

A YES VOTE would increase the minimum hourly wage an employer must pay a tipped to trker to the state minimum wage implemented over five years, at which point employers could pool all tips and distribute them to all non-management von

YES \bigcirc

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law governing tip pooling or the minimum way tipped workers.

NO \bigcirc